Virginia Wireless E-911 Services Board Project Management Monthly Activity Report

Vendor:GeoCommRegion/Locality:Sw VirginiaPeriod:November, 2002

Activity Report							
Task	Locality	% Complete	Total Hours	Hours this period	Comments		
Verify reported cell tower locations	Smyth, Wythe, Bristol & Washington	live rasks		43.5	On-going throughout the region as additional towers are identified as P1 candidates		
Work CPE compatibility with P1 issues	Smyth, Wythe, Bristol & Washington			48.5	On-going as CPE problems are identified during call through testing		
Tower/sector routing issues w/Intrado, AllTel, Sprint (LEC) and Nextel	Smyth, Bristol and Washington			50.5	On-going as routing issues are identified during call through testing		
Administrative procedures	N/A	100		11	Prepare reports, attend State Board		
MSAG Creation, Additions and corrections	Smyth, Bristol and Washington			47.75	On-going as MSAG issues are identified during call through testing		
Working with Sprint (LEC) on network issues	Smyth, Wythe, Bristol & Washington			17.5	Working issues as they develop		
Working "No Record Found" (NRF) Issues on tests	Smyth, Bristol			29.5			
Exchange NDAs and Letters of Agency with carriers/LECs	All			5			
Verizon entities groundwork (Inventory, interviews, CPE analysis (to the extent they have any yet)	Lee, Wise, Russell, Giles, Tazewell, Dickinson, Buckanan, Bland			45	Many of these entities don't have true wireline E911 yet and we are closely monitoring their progress so that wireless E911 can proceed ASAP		
Staff coordination	N/A			8.5	Conference calls, work assignments, info sharing, etc.		
Working with Sprint (LEC) on ALI and ELT issues	Smyth, Bristol and Washington			13.5	Working issues as they develop		
TOTAL HOURS			0	320.25			
	Comp	oleted Tasks					

2	4	10	Λ	Λ	2
	4/	_	v	u	U

			0	0			
	I	£ 1		U			
Issue	Issues of Importance Actions Proposed to Resolve Issue Comments						
A major issue is the inability of the Sprint ALI delivery system to handle and display the CPN in the ALI data field, and the inherent inability, therefore, to grab this CPN for insertion into a CAD record.	IWe propose to work this further to determine it it is in				At issue here is whether or not the inability to place the CPN of the wireless caller in the ALI record is "Phase 1 compliant"		
Another issue was raised last month. That being the indecision on the part of some counties as to whether or not they are willing to implement Wireless E911 before wired. Related is the reported "overloading" of Verizon (LEC) and their apparent inability to rapidly implement either wired (where it does not exist) or wireless network and db in a timely fashion	As decisions are made by the Verizon counties, we intend to pursue this issue with Verizon to see if some work-arounds might be available.						
	ĺ						